



PCT/GB2004/0004304



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

PRIORITY DOCUMENT

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

REC'D 23 FEB 2004

WIPO PCT

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated 6 February 2004

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

06FEB03 E782709-1/002246
P0177700 0.00-0302650.7**Request for grant of a patent**

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

 Cardiff Road
 Newport
 South Wales
 NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference

P/16010GB

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0302650.7

05 FEB 2003

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant *(underline all surnames)*

7498124502

 ARM Limited
 110 Fulbourn Road
 Cherry Hinton
 Cambridge
 CB1 9NJ
Patents ADP number *(if you know it)*

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

United Kingdom

4. Title of the invention

Processing Activity Masking in a Data Processing System

5. Name of your agent *(if you have one)*

D Young & Co

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent
(including the postcode)

 21 New Fetter Lane
 London
 EC4A 1DA
Patents ADP number *(if you know it)*

59006

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and *(if you know it)* the or each application number
 Country
 UK

 Priority application number
(if you know it)
 0229068.2

 Date of filing
(day / month / year)
 12/12/02

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

 Date of filing
(day / month / year)
8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? *(Answer 'Yes' if:*

Yes

- a) *any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or*
 - b) *there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or*
 - c) *any named applicant is a corporate body.*
- See note (d))*

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form 0

Description 15

Claim(s) 5

Abstract 1

Drawing(s) 11 *+11 JML*

10. If you are also filing any of the following,
state how many against each item.

Priority documents 0

Translations of priority documents 0

Statement of inventorship and right 2
to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)

Request for preliminary examination 1
and search (*Patents Form 9/77*)

Request for substantive examination 0
(*Patents Form 10/77*)

Any other documents 0
(please specify)

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application

Signature *D Young & Co*
D Young & Co (Agents for the Applicants)

Date 05 February 2003

12. Name and daytime telephone number of
person to contact in the United Kingdom

Nigel Robinson

023 8071 9500

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- d) If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

PROCESSING ACTIVITY MASKING IN A DATA PROCESSING SYSTEM

This invention relates to the field of data processing systems. More 5 particularly, this invention relates to the masking of processing activity within data processing systems, for example, in order to increase security.

It is known to provide data processing systems which manipulate secure data and for which it is desirable to ensure a high degree of security. As an example, it is known to provide smart cards which include a data processing system which 10 manipulates secure data, such as secret cryptographic keys, and this data must be kept secret in order to prevent fraud.

Known ways of attacking the security of such systems include timing analysis and power analysis. By observing the timing behaviour and/or the power consumption behaviour of such a system in response to inputs, information 15 concerning the processing being performed and the data being manipulated can be determined in a way that can compromise security. It is strongly advantageous to provide resistance against such security attacks.

Viewed from one aspect the present invention provides apparatus for processing data under control of data processing instructions specifying data 20 processing operations, said apparatus comprising:

a first execution mechanism operable to execute a first set of data processing instructions;

a second execution mechanism operable to execute a second set of data processing instructions, said first set of data processing instructions overlapping with 25 said second set of data processing instructions such that one or more data processing instructions are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism; and

an execution mechanism selector operable to pseudo randomly select either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism to execute one or 30 more data processing instructions that are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

The invention recognises that within a system having at least some instructions of an instruction set which may be executed by more than one execution mechanism, the power signature and other characteristics associated with those instructions can be

masked by pseudo randomly selecting different execution mechanisms for the instructions. As an example, if an instruction may be either executed natively by dedicated hardware or emulated by other software running on the hardware, then this technique pseudo randomly switches between these mechanisms. As well as 5 disguising the power signature associated with the execution of the instruction, the timing of the execution is also markedly altered.

In preferred embodiments of the invention the processing behaviour is further obscured in an advantageous manner when the processing behaviour that is associated with an instruction is altered depending upon which execution mechanism was used 10 for the preceding instruction. An example of a reason this might occur, depending upon the previous execution mechanism used a particular data or other value may or may not be cached such that the characteristics associated with the execution of the present instruction are varied depending upon whether the value is or not cached..

Whilst it is possible to use the present technique when only some of the 15 instructions to be executed are capable of execution by different execution mechanisms, the implementation of the invention is advantageously simplified when all of the instructions to be executed may be executed by either execution mechanism. Thus, the switching between execution mechanism does not need to take account of the particular instruction concerned.

Particular preferred embodiments which show strongly different 20 characteristics associated with the execution of instructions are ones in which in a first execution mechanism the instruction is executed as a native instruction by hardware and in a second mechanism is emulated by software. Native hardware execution will typically be fast and consume little power, where as software emulation will be 25 relatively slow and consumes more power.

Whilst it is possible that the execution mechanisms may be completely independent of one another, it is also possible they overlap to some degree. In preferred embodiments of the invention one of the execution mechanisms is software emulation and the other execution mechanism is native hardware based execution of 30 simple instructions with software emulation of more complex instructions. The software emulation of the more complex instructions can be by shared software used by both execution mechanisms.

Whilst the instructions that may be subject to the different mechanisms could take a wide variety of different forms, the invention is particularly well suited to

instructions associated with a virtual machine environment, such as, for example, Java bytecodes. In this context, the first execution mechanism may be native hardware execution of at least some of the Java bytecodes with other Java bytecodes being software emulated with a second execution mechanism that is software emulation of all of the Java bytecodes.

Whilst the present technique is applicable to a variety of data processing systems, such as microprocessor based systems, digital signal processing systems and the like, it is particularly well suited to systems including a processor core executing program instructions and to which a pseudo random signal is input to select between different execution mechanisms, which includes more than one execution mechanism for at least some instructions.

In order to mask the execution characteristics of instructions from external observation a pseudo random selection can then be made as to the execution mechanism used for such instructions. The instructions may be Java bytecodes and a selection may be made between an execution mechanism that is mixed native hardware execution and software emulation and an execution mechanism that is entirely software emulation.

The pseudo random selection of the execution mechanism may be selectively enabled and disabled by a system configuring parameter such that use of the most efficient execution mechanism may be forced when efficiency is more important than security.

Embodiments of the invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 schematically illustrates a data processing system operable in a fixed timing mode and a variable timing mode;

Figure 2 schematically illustrates a conditional programming instruction;

Figure 3 is a flow diagram schematically illustrating part of the processing operations performed by an instruction decoder operating in accordance with the present techniques;

Figure 4 schematically illustrates the execution of a conditional branch instruction in a fixed timing mode;

Figure 5 is a diagram schematically illustrating a data processing system including multiple circuit portions which may be selectively enabled to perform required processing operations or dummy processing operations;

Figure 6 schematically illustrates a circuit portion and its associated dummy activity enabling circuit which may be responsive to both required enable signals and random dummy activity enable signals;

5 Figure 7 schematically illustrates a linear shift back feed register which may be used as a random signal generator:

Figure 8 is a flow diagram schematically illustrating control of a circuit portion to perform required processing activity and dummy processing activity;

10 Figure 9 schematically illustrates a portion of a register bank including multiple data processing registers, multiple dummy registers, multiple shared dummy registers and a non-mapped trash register RT to which a dummy register write is made when a conditional write operation fails its condition codes;

Figure 10 is a flow diagram schematically illustrating a register write controlling circuit which seeks to balance the number of high to low and low to high transitions occurring when a register write occurs;

15 Figure 11 is a table illustrating the relationships between bit transitions for a particular bit within the data register and three further registers which are configured to balance the high to low and low to high transitions occurring in association with a register writet;

20 Figure 12 is a flow diagram schematically illustrating control of writing to a trash register on a condition code fail of a write operation;

Figure 13 is a diagram schematically illustrating a system having multiple execution mechanisms for an instruction and pseudo random selection of the execution mechanism employed for at least some instructions; and

25 Figure 14 is a flow diagram schematically illustrating control of the system of Figure 13.

Figure 1 illustrates a data processing system 2 including a processor core 4, a coprocessor 6 and a memory 8.

In operation, the processor core 4 fetches instructions and data from the memory 8. The instructions are fed to an instruction pipeline 10 where they occupy successive pipeline stages such as, for example, fetch, decode, execute, memory and write back on successive processing cycles. Pipelined processors are in themselves well known as a way of effectively executing a number of program instructions in a partially overlapping fashion in order to improve processor performance.

The data values read from the memory 8 by the processor core 4 are supplied to a register bank 12 from where they may be manipulated under program instruction control using one or more of a multiplier 14, a shifter 16 and an adder 18. Other data manipulating circuits may be provided, such as circuits performing logical operations, such as ANDs, Ors, count leading zeros etc.

Figure 1 also illustrates an instruction decoder 20 within the processor core 4 which is responsive to a program instruction within the instruction pipeline 10 to generate execution control signals that are applied to the various processing elements, such as the register bank 12, the multiplier 14, the shifter 16 and the adder 18 in order to control the data processing operations performed. As an example, the control signals generated by the decoder 20 may cause the appropriate operands to be read from the register bank 12 and supplied and acted upon by the appropriate ones of the multiplier 14, the shifter 16 and the adder 18 so as to generate a result which is written back into the register bank 12.

The coprocessor 6 is a system configuration coprocessor containing a number of configuration registers 22 which may be written under program control to set up configuration controlling parameters. These configuration controlling parameters can specify many aspects of the configuration of the processing system 2, such as for example the endianess and the like. Included within one of these configuration controlling registers 22 is a bit which specifies whether or not the processor core should operate in a fixed timing mode or a variable timing mode. This bit is illustrated as being supplied as an input to the instruction decoder 20, but it will be appreciated that this bit may also be supplied to various other points within the processor core 4 as required to control their behaviour. In dependence upon this fixed/variable bit, the processor core 4 operates in either a fixed timing mode or a variable timing mode. When in the fixed timing mode at least one program instruction which has a variable timing (i.e. takes a variable number of processing cycles to complete) in the variable timing mode, is instead forced to have a fixed timing (e.g. take the maximum possible number of processing cycles to complete irrespective of whether or not it could have been suppressed in its entirety or completed in less than the maximum number of processing cycles. As the instruction decoder 20 is primarily responsible for decoding the program instructions and instructing the activity of the other elements of the processor core 4, the instruction decoder 20 can take the major role in controlling the processor core 4 to either operate

in the fixed timing mode or the variable timing mode. Not all variable timing instruction need be provided with a fixed timing mode type of operation.

It will be appreciated that in the above description a single bit in the configuration controlling register 22 is shown as switching between fixed and variable 5 timing modes. Alternatively, multiple bits within the configuration controlling register 22 may be provided to separately enable and disable the fixed or variable timing behaviour of different types of instruction, such as conditional instruction behaviour, uniform branch behaviour, disabling early terminate, etc.

Figure 2 schematically illustrates a conditional instruction 24. This 10 conditional instruction may be part of an instruction set which includes only some conditional instructions or part of an instruction set, such as the ARM instruction set, which is substantially fully conditional. The condition codes 26 encode a set of processor state conditions in which the associated instruction either will or will not be executed. As an example, the condition codes 26 can be arranged to specify that the 15 instruction 24 will not execute if the condition codes currently set in the system indicate a zero result, a carry has occurred, an overflow has occurred or the like. This type of instruction can be utilised to provide efficient program coding. The fixed/variable bit at least partially suppresses the conditional behaviour in that the instruction will execute irrespective of its condition codes, but may not write its result 20 in a way that has an effect upon the processor state.

Figure 3 is a flow diagram schematically illustrating part of the processing operations performed by the instruction decoder 20. It will be appreciated that Figure 25 3 illustrates these processing operations as a logical sequence, whereas in practice these processing operations may be performed at least partially in parallel or in a different order.

At step 28, the instruction decoder 20 waits for a new instruction to execute. When a new instruction is received processing proceeds to step 30 at which the 30 condition codes associated with the new instruction are read. At step 32 these condition codes are compared with the currently existing condition codes in the system. These condition codes currently existing in the system are the result of previous processing activity, either in the immediately preceding instruction or in the last instruction which would have updated those condition codes.

At step 34, a check is made for a match between the condition codes 26 of the current instruction being executed and the existing condition codes. If a match does

occur, then processing proceeds to step 36 where execution of the current instruction is started. It will be appreciated that Figure 3 illustrates a system in which execution occurs when a match does not occur, but alternative embodiments could equally well be ones in which execution occurs when a match occurs.

5 Following step 36, processing proceeds to step 38 where a check is made as to whether or not early termination of the instruction is possible. This early termination may, for example, be because one of the operands has a particular value, such as zero or unity, or on subsequent processing cycles that a particular partial result has been produced. If early termination is possible, then processing proceeds to step 40 where 10 a check is made as to whether or not the processor core 4 is currently operating in the fixed or variable timing mode. If the processor is in the variable timing mode, then processing proceeds to step 42 and the instruction concerned is early terminated with the result being returned as appropriate and processing returns to step 28.

If the determination at step 40 is that the system is in the fixed timing mode, 15 then processing proceeds to step 44 irrespective of the fact that early termination is possible. Step 44, which may also be reached by a determination at step 38 that early termination is not possible, executes the instruction concerned for one processing cycle. In the case of a multicycle processing instruction, such as a multiplication, a divide, an add or a subtraction, these typically take several cycles to execute and so 20 after step 44 processing proceeds to step 46 at which a determination is made as to whether or not the maximum number of cycles associated with that instruction has yet been performed. If the maximum number of cycles has been performed, then the result will have been generated. If early termination was possible and the system was being forced to continue to execute for further processing cycles, then step 46 will still 25 indicate that this forced execution should cease when the maximum possible number of processing cycles for that type of instruction has been reached. If the maximum number of processing cycles has not yet been performed, then processing is returned to step 38.

If the match tested for at step 34 was negative, then processing proceeds to 30 step 48. In this example, the positive detection of a match at step 34 indicates that execution of the particular instruction should be suppressed. Step 48 determines whether or not the system is currently in the fixed timing mode. If in the fixed timing mode, then processing proceeds to step 50 where a forced dummy execution of the instruction will occur. When dummy execution is performed the result is written to a

trash register (see trash register 51 in Figure 1), rather than the destination specified in the instruction itself so as to prevent the state of the system being modified by a program instruction which should not have executed as it should have been suppressed whilst also keeping a substantially unaltered power consumption. If at 5 step 48 the determination is that the system is not in the fixed timing mode but is in the variable timing mode, then processing bypasses step 50 and returns to step 28 with the program instruction being suppressed in the normal way.

It will be appreciated that Figure 3 illustrates a generic system in which dummy execution is applied to all condition code failed instructions and all early 10 termination of instructions is suppressed. In practice, it is also possible for these techniques to be applied to a subset of conditional instructions and instructions capable of early termination. The multiple configuration controlling bits mentioned above could be used to selectively turn on features such as early terminate suppression, but not others, such as dummy execution following a condition code fail.

15 Figure 4 schematically illustrates the execution of a conditional branch instruction in the fixed timing mode. A sequence of instructions AB are executed until a conditional branch instruction BEQ (branch upon equal) is reached. This instruction encodes the behaviour that the specified branch will be performed if the flag indicating an equal result from previous processing is set and will be suppressed 20 if this flag is not set. When the condition codes are passed, i.e. a condition code match, then the branch is taken and processing proceeds to instructions X, Y, etc. If the condition codes fail, then instead of being suppressed in its entirety, the BEQ instruction performs a branch to the immediately following instruction C. This is the same instruction which would have been reached if the BEQ instruction had been 25 suppressed and not executed at all. However, in the fixed timing mode, the BEQ will have executed consuming the same number of processing cycles irrespective of whether or not the condition codes were passed or failed. This helps obscure the results of data processing operations previously performed from a person trying to gain access to secure data.

30 Figure 5 schematically illustrates a data processing system 52 in the form of a programmable processor core which responds to program instructions I and manipulates data D. The data processing system 52 includes a register bank 54, a multiplier 56, a shifter 58, an adder 60, and arithmetic logic unit 62, a load store unit 64, a data pipeline 66, and instruction decoder 68 and a random clock gating circuit

70. A system configuration coprocessor CP15 72 is coupled to the processor core. The system configuration coprocessor 72 includes a system configuration register 74 holding multiple flag values which respectively serve to enable or disable the pseudo random dummy activity of different circuit portions of the data processing system 52.
- 5 It will be appreciated that the data processing system 52 will typically include many more circuit elements, but these have been omitted for the sake of clarity from Figure 5.

Associated with the multiplier 56 is a dummy activity enable circuit 76 which serves to enable dummy activity in the multiplier 56 as appropriate or alternatively 10 pass the required activity enable signals to activate the multiplier 56 when the program instruction being executed so requires. Similar dummy activity enables circuits 78, 80, 82, 84 are associated with the respective other circuit portions 58, 60, 62, 64 previously mentioned.

In operation, instructions to be executed are to be passed to the instruction 15 pipeline 66 and on to the instruction decoder 68 to generate instruction driven enable signals which are applied to respective circuit portions. These enable signal serve to select the data path through the data processing system 52 and to activate the circuit portions concerned to read their inputs, to perform the specified processing and to generate their associated output signals. As an example, a multiplier-accumulate 20 operation might read data values from the register bank 54, apply these to the multiplier 56 and adder 60 and then write back the result to the register bank 54. Thus, the register bank 54, the multiplier 56 and the adder 60 would all be subject to required activity enable signals which both enabled their operation and selected them to form a complete data path. The different circuit portions have different power 25 consumption characteristics and timing characteristics such that external observation could reveal which instruction were being executed by observing such parameters. Accordingly, pseudo random dummy activity of the other circuit portions not required for the instruction being executed is also enabled. Thus, even though the shifter 58 may not being used by the particular multiplier accumulate instruction being 30 executed, it may nevertheless be pseudo randomly enabled such that it will consume power by shifting whatever value is applied to its input. Its output latches will not be enabled to avoid this dummy activity altering the circuit state an undesired way which may interfere with required operation e.g some circuit portions might assume

persistence of output values. The dummy activity is enabled for time periods matching the normal operation timings for the circuit portions concerned.

The random clock gating circuit 70 serves to receive a plurality of pseudo random enable signals for different respective circuit portions and gate these for applying to respective circuit portions under the control of configuration parameters read from the system configuration register 74 within the system configuration coprocessor 72. These configuration flags may indicate that dummy activity should be enabled for the shifter 58, ALU 62 and multiplier 56, but not for the adder 60 or the load store unit 64. The different pseudo random enable signals allow different pseudo random characteristics to be applied in a manner that can match these respective circuit portion concerned. As an example, there may a different minimum enable time associated with the normal timing of the different circuit portions.

At an overall level, it will be seen that the instruction decoder 68 will serve as a required activity enabling circuit which will enable the circuit portions required to perform the data processing operation specified by the instruction currently being executed. Superimposed upon this required activity, various dummy activities within the other circuit portions will be enabled/stimulated by the dummy activity control circuitry provided in various places in the rest of the data processing system 52. The dummy activity serves to mask the power consumption and timing characteristics associated with the required activity.

Figure 6 schematically illustrates a circuit portion 86 which may be subject to both a required enable signal en and a dummy enable signal rnd. This circuit portion 86 can be considered as a sequence of latches between which processing logic manipulates data values. When genuine required activity is necessary, all of the latches that provide a data path through the circuit portion 86 are enabled and the required processing will be performed between the input latches and the output latches. When dummy activity is instructed, then only the input latches and the intermediate latches are enabled. Thus, a data path is not provided through the full circuit portion and the output values generated by that circuit portion are not altered.

Figure 7 illustrates a linear feedback shift register of the type which may be used to generate pseudo random clock signal. These clock signals can be provided to the random clock dating circuit 72 of Figure 5. Separate pseudo random signal generators may be provided for the different circuit portions. The fixed clock frequency associated with the different pseudo random generators may be altered so

as to match the characteristics of the circuit portion concerned and further obscure the masking operation as required.

Figure 8 schematically illustrates the control of an enable signal for a circuit portion. At step 88 a determination is made as to whether or not an enable signal en has been received from the instruction decoder 68. If such an enable signal has been received, then processing passes to step 90. An enable signal from the instruction decoder 68 indicates that required processing operation is necessary in accordance with a genuine program instruction being decoded. Thus, step 90 enables the input, output and clock signal to the circuit portion concerned. If at step 88 no enable signal en is received from the instruction decoder, then processing passes to step 92 where a determination is made as to whether or not dummy operation of that circuit portion is permitted. If dummy operation is permitted, then processing proceeds to step 94 at which the inputs and clock to the circuit portion are enabled, but the outputs from the circuit portion are not enabled. The circuit portion then undertakes dummy activity. If the determination at step 92 was that dummy operation was not permitted, as indicated by the system configuration parameter(s), then processing terminates by passing to step 94.

It will be appreciated that the process illustrated in Figure 8 is in the form of a sequential flow diagram. In practice, this control may be performed in a different sequence and use circuit elements spread throughout the data processing system 52. The operations illustrated as being sequentially performed may in fact be performed in parallel or the control functions modified. At an overall level an individual circuit portion will be enabled to perform its normal required operation in response to an appropriate program instruction and will be enabled to perform dummy activity when permitted by the associated configuration parameter.

Figure 9 schematically illustrates a register bank 96. This register bank is based upon the ARM processor programmer's model for user mode operation in accordance with processors designed by ARM Limited, Cambridge, England). In practice, further registers may be provided for other processor modes, but these have been omitted for the sake of clarity. The normal data registers R0 to R15 are provided for holding data values. The registers R13, R14 and R15 typically serve to store the program counter value, the branch return address value and the stack pointer, which tend to be none security related data values. Accordingly, transition balancing upon data writes is not necessary for R13, R14 and R15. A trash register RT is provided

within the register bank 96 for use in association with conditional writes which fail their condition codes thus, a conditional write instruction which fails its condition code would not normally make any write. However, with this system such a failed conditional write instruction nevertheless writes a data value to the trash data register 5 RT even though the condition codes have failed. This masks any difference in power consumption or timing that might be associated with condition code failure or condition code passing of a conditional write operation. The trash data register RT does not appear in the programmer's model in a way that enables it to be addressed with a register specifying operand within an instruction.

10 As well as the trash data register RT, further registers 98, 100 are also provided for the purpose of balancing the high to low and low to high transitions. Dedicated dummy registers 98 are provided in respect of the data registers R0 to R12 as well as the trash data register RT. Shared dummy registers 100 are provided for storing an exclusive OR value as well as the inverse of the exclusive OR value in 15 response to each write to a data register subject to the transition balancing technique. A register write control circuit 102 serves to generate the appropriate data values to be written to the further registers 98, 100 in response to a data value write to a data register. This symmetric write control is selectively enabled and disabled by an appropriate system configuring controlling flag signal from the system configuring 20 coprocessor 72.

Figure 10 is a flow diagram schematically illustrating the operation of the register write control circuit 102. At step 104 the circuit waits for a register write operation to be instructed. Step 106 determines whether this register write is to one of the data registers or the trash data registers RT for which the symmetric write control 25 system is applied. If the register write is not to such a register, then processing proceeds to step 108 and a simple write of the required data value X is made to one of the registers R13, R14 and R15.

If the register to which the write is being made is potentially subject to symmetric register writing then step 110 serves to determine whether or not this 30 feature is currently enabled. If this feature is not currently enabled, then processing proceeds to step 108. If this feature is enabled, then processing proceeds to step 112.

At step 112, the register controls circuit calculates for each bit position within the data value a value being the inverse exclusive OR of the current bit being written at that position and the previously stored bit at that position which is then exclusive

Ored with the previously stored dummy register value for that bit position (see Figure 11). The register control circuit 102 also calculates the inverse of the determination as well the inverse of the bit being written as the data value to the data register. These values are calculated for all of the bits being written (e.g. 3 dummy 32-bit values).

5 At step 114 the data value is written to the data register in a similar manner to step 108. At step 116 the three further values determined for each bit position within the registers concerned are written to the three further registers. Steps 114 and 116 take place simultaneously. As will be discussed in relation to Figure 11, this results in a balance number of high to low and low to high transitions and thus power

10 consumed.

Figure 11 illustrates a table of possible bit values before and after a data write operation. The data value is being written to a register R_n, which is a register to which the symmetric write operation function is applied. The values at time t and time t+1 are illustrated. The inverse of these values is simply determined. Each of 15 the data registers subject to this symmetric operation is provided with a dedicated dummy register 98 which stores the inverse of the data value currently held in the data register.

The shared dummy registers 100 are indicated in Figure 11 as registers R_d. For each bit position on within the shared dummy register R_d the new value to be 20 written at that bit position when a data write occurs is determined by the function shown at the bottom of Figure 11. This function ensures that when a change does not occur in the data value and the inverse of the data value, then a change is guaranteed to occur in the corresponding bit within the shared dummy register and accordingly its inverse. The table shows the changes in the shared dummy register values which 25 occur when the data value does not change and the shared values in the dummy register values not changing when the data value does change. Thus, there is a guaranteed fixed number of transitions for every write, i.e. a balanced equal number of transitions high to low and low to high.

Figure 13 is a flow diagram illustrating the action of the dummy data register 30 RD to provide writes when a write operation fails its condition code(s). At step 118 the control logic waits for an instruction to be received. This control logic may be the instruction decoder 68 or other logic. Step 120 determines whether or not the instruction failed its condition codes. If the instruction does not fail its condition code, then it is normally executed at step 122 and makes its write to the register

specified by the register operand within that instruction. If the instruction does fail its condition codes, then processing proceeds to step 124 at which a determination is made as to whether or not dummy data register writes are enabled. If these are not enabled, then processing terminates. If dummy data register writes are enabled, then 5 processing proceeds to step 126 at which a write of the data value calculated by the condition code failed instruction is written to the trash data register RT even though the condition codes failed. This balances the power consumption and timing irrespective of a condition code pass or a condition code fail. It will be appreciated that the trash data register RT is also subject to the transition balancing mechanisms 10 previously discussed.

Figure 13 illustrates a data processing system 128 in which multiple instruction execution mechanisms are provided for at least some instructions. The data processing system 128 is one which supports the native execution of at least some Java bytecode instructions. This type of data processing system and native 15 execution is described in published PCT Patent Application Number WO-A-02/29555. The disclosure of this published application as a whole and in respect of the native hardware execution and selective software emulation of more complex Java bytecodes in particular is incorporated here in by reference.

The Java bytecode decoder 130 may be selectively enabled and disabled by an 20 input signal. When the Java bytecode decoder 130 is disabled a received Java bytecode will trigger an exception which starts execution of software emulation code for handling Java bytecodes using the native ARM Thumb instruction sets. This support code is stored within memory in area 132 as illustrated. The Java bytecode program 134 is also stored within memory. When it is desired to obscure the nature 25 of the Java program execution, the Java bytecode decoder 130 may be subject to a pseudo random signal which selectively enables and disables this element so as to effectively switch the instruction execution mechanism for the Java bytecodes between a mixed hardware and emulation execution mechanism and a purely emulation mechanism. Configuration controlling values within a system 30 configuration register 136 specify whether or not the Java decoder 130 is present and whether or not random enabling and disabling of this Java decode 130 is permitted.

Figure 14 schematically illustrates the handling of a received Java bytecode. At step 138 a Java bytecode is received. Step 140 determines whether or not the Java decoder 130 is enabled. The pseudo random enabling and disabling of the Java

decoder 130 effectively causes a branch to either step 142 at which the bytecode is always emulated or an attempt to execute the instruction in hardware at step 146. This obscures/masks the power signature associated with Java bytecode execution. If the determination at step 146 is that the particular Java bytecode concerned is not supported by the Java decoder 130, then this Java bytecode will also be emulated in software at step 142. However, if the Java bytecode is supported in hardware, then it is executed in hardware at step 146.

CLAIMS

5

1. Apparatus for processing data under control of data processing instructions specifying data processing operations, said apparatus comprising:

a first execution mechanism operable to execute a first set of data processing instructions;

10 a second execution mechanism operable to execute a second set of data processing instructions, said first set of data processing instructions overlapping with said second set of data processing instructions such that one or more data processing instructions are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism; and

15 an execution mechanism selector operable to pseudo randomly select either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism to execute one or more data processing instructions that are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

20 2. Apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein said first execution mechanism and said second execution mechanism have at least one different execution characteristic for at least one of said data processing instructions that are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

25 3. Apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein said at least one different execution characteristic includes one or more of:

time to execute said data processing instruction; and

power consumption when executing said data processing instruction.

30 4. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 2 and 3, wherein at least one execution characteristic of at least one data processing instruction executed by one of said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism varies in dependence upon whether a preceding data processing instruction was executed with either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

5. Apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein all of said data processing instructions are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

5

6. Apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein said first execution mechanism is operable to execute some of said data processing instructions as native instructions directly controlling data processing hardware and remaining data processing instructions using emulation software.

10

7. Apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein said second execution mechanism is operable to execute all of said data processing instructions using emulation software.

15

8. Apparatus as claimed in claims 6 and 7, wherein said first execution mechanism and said second execution mechanism share at least some emulation software.

20

9. Apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein said data processing instructions are Java bytecode instructions.

10. Apparatus as claimed in claim 9, wherein said first execution mechanism includes native Java bytecode execution hardware and said second execution mechanism uses Java bytecode emulation for all Java bytecodes.

25

11. Apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein said execution mechanism selector is controlled by a pseudo random execution mechanism selecting signal.

30

12. Apparatus as claimed in claim 11, comprising a processor core, said pseudo random execution mechanism selecting signal being an input to said processor core.

13. Apparatus as claimed in claim 12, wherein a pseudo random signal generator is operable to generate said pseudo random execution mechanism selecting signal.

14. Apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein a system configuration parameter is operable to force said execution mechanism selector to select said first execution mechanism for all data processing instructions.

5

15. Apparatus as claimed in claim 14, wherein said system configuration parameter is stored in a system configuration register.

16. A method of processing data under control of data processing instructions specifying data processing operations, said method comprising the steps of:

executing a first set of data processing instructions with a first execution mechanism;

executing a second set of data processing instructions with a second execution mechanism, said first set of data processing instructions overlapping with said second set of data processing instructions such that one or more data processing instructions are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism; and

pseudo randomly selecting with an execution mechanism selector either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism to execute one or more data processing instructions that are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

17. A method as claimed in claim 16, wherein said first execution mechanism and said second execution mechanism have at least one different execution characteristic for at least one of said data processing instructions that are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

18. A method as claimed in claim 17, wherein said at least one different execution characteristic includes one or more of:

30 time to execute said data processing instruction; and

power consumption when executing said data processing instruction.

19. A method as claimed in any one of claims 17 and 18, wherein at least one execution characteristic of at least one data processing instruction executed by one of

said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism varies in dependence upon whether a preceding data processing instruction was executed with either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

5 20. A method as claimed in any one of claims 16 to 19, wherein all of said data processing instructions are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

10 21. A method as claimed in any one of claims 16 to 20, wherein said first execution mechanism is operable to execute some of said data processing instructions as native instructions directly controlling data processing hardware and remaining data processing instructions using emulation software.

15 22. A method as claimed in any one of claims 16 to 21, wherein said second execution mechanism is operable to execute all of said data processing instructions using emulation software.

20 23. A method as claimed in claims 21 and 22, wherein said first execution mechanism and said second execution mechanism share at least some emulation software.

24. A method as claimed in any one of claims 16 to 23, wherein said data processing instructions are Java bytecode instructions.

25 25. A method as claimed in claim 24, wherein said first execution mechanism includes native Java bytecode execution hardware and said second execution mechanism uses Java bytecode emulation for all Java bytecodes.

30 26. A method as claimed in any one of claims 16 to 25, wherein said execution mechanism selector is controlled by a pseudo random execution mechanism selecting signal.

27. A method as claimed in claim 26, comprising a processor core, said pseudo random execution mechanism selecting signal being an input to said processor core.

28. A method as claimed in claim 27, wherein a pseudo random signal generator is operable to generate said pseudo random execution mechanism selecting signal.
- 5 29. A method as claimed in any one of claims 16 to 28, wherein a system configuration parameter is operable to force said execution mechanism selector to select said first execution mechanism for all data processing instructions.
- 10 30. A method as claimed in claim 29, wherein said system configuration parameter is stored in a system configuration register.

ABSTRACT

**PROCESSING ACTIVITY MASKING IN A
DATA PROCESSING SYSTEM**

- 5 Apparatus for processing data under control of data processing instructions specifying data processing operations, said apparatus comprising:
- a first execution mechanism operable to execute a first set of data processing instructions;
- 10 a second execution mechanism operable to execute a second set of data processing instructions, said first set of data processing instructions overlapping with said second set of data processing instructions such that one or more data processing instructions are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism; and
- 15 an execution mechanism selector operable to pseudo randomly selected either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism to execute one or more data processing instructions that are executable by either said first execution mechanism or said second execution mechanism.

20 Figure 13

Conditional Branch
in Fixed Timing Node






Fig. 4





e.g. don't execute if:
zero,
carry,
overFlow, etc



compare with condition
codes from previous execution

Fig. 2




Fig. 3

3/11




Fig. 5

4/11




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

5/11




Fig. 8

6/11

Data Processing Registers


R15
R14
R13
R12
R11
R10
R9
R8
R7
R6
R5
R4
R3
R2
R1
R0
R.T

Dedicated Dummy Registers

R12
R11
R10
R9
R8
R7
R6
R5
R4
R3
R2
R1
R0
R.T

98

96



Symmetric
write control
from CP15 register

Register Bank

Fig. 9

7/11




Fig. 10

$R_n[i]_t$	$R_n[i]_{t+1}$	$\overline{R_n[i]_t}$	$\overline{R_n[i]_{t+1}}$	$R_d[i]_t$	$R_d[i]_{t+1}$	$\overline{R_d[i]_t}$	$\overline{R_d[i]_{t+1}}$	Transitions
0	0	1	-1	0	1	0	-1	- - $\uparrow \downarrow$
0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	1	- - $\downarrow \uparrow$
0	-1	-1	0	0	0	1	-1	$\uparrow \downarrow$ - -
0	-1	1	0	-1	0	0	0	$\uparrow \downarrow$ - -
-1	0	0	-1	0	0	1	-1	$\uparrow \downarrow$ - -
-1	-1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	$\downarrow \uparrow$ - -
-1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	-1	$\downarrow \uparrow$ - -
-1	-1	0	0	1	0	0	1	- - $\uparrow \downarrow$
-1	-1	0	0	1	0	0	1	- - $\downarrow \uparrow$

$$R_d[i]_{t+1} = (R_n[i]_t \text{ XOR } R_n[i]_{t+1}) \text{ XOR } R_d[i]_t$$

Fig. 11

9/11





Fig. 12

10/11



11/11




Fig. 14

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.